

nergic innervation. Furthermore, the two layers may not have an equally dense noradrenergic innervation although the difference would seem to be small.

References

- ELLMAN, G.L., COURTNEY, K.D., ANDRES, V. & FEATHERSTONE, J.M. (1961). A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 7, 88-95.
- FALCK, B. (1962). Observations on the possibilities of the cellular localisation of monoamines by a fluo-

cent method. *Act Physiol Scand.*, 56, Supplement 197, 1-25.

- FURNESS, J.B. & IWAYAMA, T. (1972). The arrangement and identification of axons innervating the vas deferens of the guinea-pig. *J. Anat.*, 113, 129-196.
- GOSLING, J.A. & DIXON, J.S. (1972). Differences in the manner of autonomic innervation of the muscle layers of the guinea-pig ductus deferens. *J. Anat.*, 112, 81-91.
- HÄGGENDAL, J. (1963). An improved method for fluorimetric determination of small amounts of adrenaline and noradrenaline in plasma and tissues. *Acta Physiol. Scand.*, 59, 242-254.

The *in vitro* effects of vinblastine on the nerve-mediated responses of the guinea-pig vas deferens

T. BENNETT* & P.A. KEMP
(introduced by A.T. BIRMINGHAM)

Department of Physiology, The Medical School, The University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD

It has recently been shown that an intravenous injection of vinblastine causes degeneration of noradrenergic nerves after about 48 h (Bennett, Cobb & Malmfors, 1973). Thoa, Wooten, Axelrod & Kopin (1972) have suggested that an immediate *in vitro* effect of vinblastine on the guinea-pig vas deferens is the inhibition of noradrenaline release from nerves. In the present investigation we have examined the acute effects of vinblastine and vincristine on the mechanical responses of the guinea-pig vas deferens to stimulation of pre and post-ganglionic nerve trunks and nerve terminals.

Vasa deferentia and the attached hypogastric nerves were removed from guinea-pigs weighing 200-400 g. The tissues were set up in 100 ml organ baths, containing Kreb's solution at 37°C, bubbled with 95% oxygen and 5% carbon dioxide. Stimulating electrodes were arranged so that the pre-ganglionic nerve trunks, the postganglionic nerve trunks or the intramural nerve terminals could be stimulated separately. Postganglionic nerve trunk stimulation was confirmed by the addition of a mixture of pentolinium, mecamlamine and hexamethonium (1×10^{-5} M) to the organ bath. Longitudinal contractions of the vasa deferentia in response to nerve stimulation were recorded isotonically.

With the stimulus parameters used (10 s trains of pulses at 10 Hz, 40 V strength, 0.2 ms pulse duration, applied to pre or postganglionic nerve trunks; 10 s trains of pulses at 10 Hz, 140 V strength, 0.2 ms pulse duration applied to the intramural nerve terminals), reproducible

responses were obtained over a period of 6 to 8 hours.

When pre or postganglionic nerve trunks and intramural nerve terminals were stimulated alternately (every 2 min), vinblastine or vincristine (1×10^{-4} M) caused an enhancement of both responses that lasted about 30 minutes. Subsequently the response to nerve trunk stimulation (pre or postganglionic) was reduced and eventually extinguished after about 180 min when the responses to stimulation of nerve terminals were little affected. With more prolonged drug contact times (4 h or more) the responses to both nerve trunk and nerve terminal stimulation were irreversibly abolished.

The results provide little evidence for blockade of transmission through the hypogastric ganglion by vinblastine; this is in contrast to its effects on the cat superior cervical ganglion (Trifaró, Collier, Lastowecka & Stern, 1972). The responses to stimulation of nerve terminals persisted when the responses to stimulation of preterminal nerve trunks were blocked; one possible explanation for this finding is that vinblastine and vincristine interfere with action potential propagation in preterminal nerve trunks.

References

- BENNETT, T., COBB, J.L.S. & MALMFORS, T. (1973). Fluorescence histochemical and ultrastructural observations on the effects of intravenous injections of vinblastine on noradrenergic nerves. *Z. Zellforsch. Mikroskop. Anat.*, 141, 517-527.
- THOA, N.B., WOOTEN, G.F., AXELROD, J. & KOPIN, I.J. (1972). Inhibition of release of dopamine- β -hydroxylase and norepinephrine from sympathetic nerves by colchicine, vinblastine, or cytochalasin-B. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 69, 520-522.
- TRIFARÓ, J.M., COLLIER, B., LASTOWECKA, A. & STERN, D. (1972). Inhibition by colchicine and by vinblastine of acetylcholine-induced catecholamine release from the adrenal gland: an anticholinergic action, not an effect upon microtubules. *Molec. Pharmacol.*, 8, 264-267.